



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,602	02/24/2004	Farid Hacena	HACENA 3-1 3211	
50525 7590 05/01/2006 EXAMINER				INER
	NSEN & FISHMAN, I	VU, MICHAEL T		
1526 SPRUCE STREET SUITE 302 BOULDER, CO 80302			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/785,602	HACENA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Vu	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/22/	<u>2006</u> .					
<i>,</i> —	, —					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 5-6, 8, 12-13, 15, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipate by Williams (US 2003/0158954).

Regarding **claims 1, 8 and 15**, Williams teaches a wireless communication network (Fig. 5) comprising: a call processing system coupled to a backhaul network [0011]; a translator system coupled to the backhaul network and to the call processing system [0009-0012]; a first base station system coupled to the backhaul network [0009-0012], the first base station system (Fig. 1, #104), responsive to receiving communications for a call from a wireless communication device (Fig. 1, Wireless Device #106, Base Station #104), transfers first call traffic for the call in a first format over the backhaul network to the call processing system (Fig. 1, 1st Protocol Translation

Application/Control Number: 10/785,602

Art Unit: 2617

#120); and a second base station system coupled to the translator system by the backhaul network (Fig. 1, Wireless Device #106, BS #104), the second base station system (BS #104), responsive to receiving the communications for the call from the wireless communication device (Wireless Device #106), transfers second call traffic for the call in a second format over the backhaul network to the translator system wherein the second format is different than the first format (Fig. 1, 2nd Protocol Translation #120); the translator system (Fig. 1, #120), responsive to receiving the second call traffic in the second format from the second base station system (Fig. 1, 2nd Protocol Translation #120), converts the second call traffic from the second format to the first format (Fig. 1, [0006, 0024, 0026, 0045-0047]) and transfers the second call traffic in the first format to the call processing system (Fig. 1, [0006, 0024, 0026, 0045-0047]); the call processing system (Fig. 1, [0006, 0024, 0026, 0045-0047]), responsive to receiving the first call traffic and the second call traffic (Fig. 1), processes the first call traffic and the second call traffic (Fig. 1, Fig. 5, [0006, 0024, 0026, 0045-0047, 0050-0052]).

Regarding **claims 5 and 12**, Williams teaches the wireless communication network of claim 1 wherein the call processing system, the translator system, and the first base station system are from a first vendor, and the second base station system is from a second vendor [0006, 0026, 0045-0047].

Regarding **claims 6, 13 and 19**, Williams teaches the wireless communication network of claim 1 wherein the first format comprises a proprietary format and the second format comprises an Inter-vendor Operating System (IOS) format [0006, 0026, 0045-0047].

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 20**, Williams teaches the wireless network controller of claim 15 wherein the wireless network controller comprises a Mobile Switching Center (MSC) ([0026] GSM/CDMA/TDMA Wireless Systems included MSC or Network Controller).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2-4, 9-11, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams (US 2003/0158954) in view of Doviak (US 5,717,737).

Regarding **claims 2**, **9 and 16** Williams teaches the wireless communication network of claim 1 wherein the call processing system, responsive to receiving the first call traffic and the second call traffic, **but is silent on** determines if the second call traffic is delayed compared to the first call traffic.

However, Doviak teaches the transparent communication between a wireless remote or mobile device and a fixed wired communication networks, which provides end-to-end data communication that converting the transported data utilizing the transmission format, and including the determine traffic delayed (C3, L63-67 to C4, L1-60, C18, L56-67 to C19, L1-12, and claims #13-14).

Application/Control Number: 10/785,602

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Williams, such that determines if the second call traffic is delayed compared to the first call traffic, to modify or implement the software/protocol without changing or replacing the hardware components or devices for saving cost.

Regarding **claims 3, 10 and 17**, Williams/Doviak teach the wireless communication network of claim 2 wherein the call processing system, responsive to a determination that the second call traffic is delayed, buffers the first call traffic to synchronize the first call traffic and the second call traffic (C3, L63-67 to C4, L1-60, C18, L56-67 to C19, L1-12, and claims #13-14) of Doviak.

Regarding **claims 4, 11 and 18**, Williams/Doviak teach the wireless communication network of claim 3 wherein the call processing system selects either the first call traffic or the second call traffic based on a quality of the first call traffic and a quality of the second call traffic [0024-0026, 0033-0034] of Williams.

6. Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Chemin (US 6,005,929).

Regarding **claims 7 and 14**, Williams teaches the wireless communication network of claim 1 wherein: the first base station system, responsive to receiving the communications for the call from the wireless communication device, transfers call traffic in the first format over the backhaul network to the translator system; and the translator system, responsive to receiving the call traffic in the first format over the backhaul network, converts the call traffic in the first format to the second format and

Art Unit: 2617

transfers the call traffic in the second format to another call processing system, **but is** silent on the third call.

However, Chemin teaches a method of providing the services to subscribers of a telephone network that corresponding to the third call (C2, L35-67, Claim #9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Willaims, such that the third call, to provide the capability to maximize of the calls.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-8131. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,602

Art Unit: 2617

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael T. Vu

DUC NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER